JSRT JOURNAL
PAGES: 27-30
06/06/25
JOURNAL OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY VOLUME-3 ISSUE-8 AUGUST

From Theory To Practice A Study On The Skills Gap In Management Graduates

Krishan Rao A Kshirasagar[1], Dr.Shailaja Kheni[2]

[1]*Research Scholar, MBA Department VTU-CPGS Regional Centre Kalaburagi, India*
krishna.kshirsagar@gmail.com

[2]*Assistant Professor & Research Supervisor, MBA Department VTU-Regional Centre Kalaburagi India*
shailajakheni@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The persistent gap between academic training and industry expectations in management education continues to challenge graduate employability worldwide. While business schools often emphasize theoretical knowledge, employers increasingly demand graduates equipped with practical competencies—particularly in aptitude and reasoning skills such as analytical thinking, problem-solving, and data interpretation. This study investigates the nature and causes of this skills mismatch, cognitive dimensions of employability. Drawing on literature, industry insights, and academic perspectives, the research examines the extent to which current curricula address reasoning-related capabilities and explores industry-academia collaboration in enhancing them.

Using a mixed-methods approach, a study incorporates qualitative interviews with faculty and industry professionals, as well survey data from management students and recent graduates. Findings reveal that aptitude and reasoning are critical yet underdeveloped areas in traditional management programs, largely due to insufficient experiential learning and limited exposure to real-world problem-solving scenarios. The study highlights successful models of collaboration—such as internships, case-based learning, and co-designed curricula—that effectively bridge the skills gap.

The paper concludes by recommending integrative strategies to embed aptitude development within academic frameworks, suggesting that sustainable, structured engagement between academia and industry is essential to produce graduates who is not only knowledgeable, but also reasoning-capable and job-ready.

Keywords: Training, Skills, Academics.

Introduction

In today's fast-evolving business landscape, organizations increasingly seek management graduates who can transition smoothly from academic theory to practical execution. However, a persistent concern among employers is that many graduates, while academically qualified, lack the real-world competencies necessary to perform effectively in professional settings. This disconnect—commonly referred to as the **skills gap**—has become a central challenge in management education worldwide.

Academic institutions often focus heavily on theoretical frameworks, models, and case studies, yet these alone may not adequately prepare students for the complexity, ambiguity, and dynamic problem-solving required in the workplace. Employers frequently report deficiencies in core areas such as **critical thinking, communication, analytical reasoning, teamwork, and decision-making**—all essential for effective management practice.

This study aims to investigate the **mismatch between the theoretical training provided in management programs and the practical skills demanded by industry**. By examining both academic practices and employer expectations, the research seeks to identify key gaps in graduate readiness and offer actionable strategies to bridge them. Through this inquiry, the study contributes to ongoing efforts to **restructure management education** in ways that better align with the real-world demands of business and improve graduate employability.

Literature Review

The **skills gap** between management graduates and industry expectations has been widely documented across the global research, highlighting the challenges in aligning academic curricula with practical workplace demands (Andrews & Higson, 2008; World Economic Forum, 2023). Employers frequently emphasize that graduates often

possess strong theoretical knowledge but lack critical **soft skills** such as communication, teamwork, and leadership, as well as **cognitive skills** like analytical reasoning and problem-solving that are essential in dynamic business environments (CBI & Pearson, 2022).

One key area of concern is the overemphasis on **rote learning and conceptual understanding** within many management programs, which limits students' ability to apply knowledge to complex, real-world situations (Yorke, 2006). The literature suggests that while theoretical foundations are necessary, they are insufficient alone to prepare graduates for practical decision-making and strategic thinking required in managerial roles (Bridgstock, 2009).

Theoretical frameworks such as **Kolb's Experiential Learning Theory (1984)** have been proposed as effective pedagogical approaches to bridge this divide. Experiential learning emphasizes the integration of concrete experiences, reflective observation, and active experimentation, enabling students to develop reasoning and applied skills through real-world engagement. Some approaches have been linked to improved problem-solving abilities and better preparation for the workplace (Kayes, 2002).

Moreover, **industry-academia collaboration** is recognized as a critical mechanism to enhance graduate employability by providing students with exposure to live projects, internships, and mentorship from practitioners (Brett, 2013). These collaborations create authentic learning environments where students can practice and refine both technical and interpersonal skills.

Despite this advances, challenge remain in implementing effective collaboration and ensuring curricula evolve in pace with changing industry needs. Research highlights a lack of standardized frameworks for integrating practical skill development consistently across management programs (Oliver et al., 2015). This underscores the urgent need for educational reform that emphasize **skills integration, continuous feedback from industry, and the development of aptitude and reasoning capabilities** alongside traditional academic content.

This study builds on these insights by examining the nature of the skills gap specifically in management education and exploring practical strategies to enhance the transition from theory to practice for graduates.

Research Problem

Despite the increasing number of management graduates entering the workforce each year, employers consistently report a significant **gap between the theoretical knowledge imparted by academic institutions and the practical skills required in the workplace**. This gap manifests in graduates' limited abilities to apply critical thinking, problem-solving, communication, and decision-making skills effectively in real-world business contexts.

Management education programs often emphasize conceptual frameworks and academic rigor but fall short in providing experiential learning opportunities and applied skill development. Consequently, graduates face challenges in meeting employer expectations, resulting in reduced employability and career readiness.

This study seeks to explore the **underlying causes of this theory-practice gap** in management education, investigate which specific skills are most deficient, and identify how academic curricula and teaching methods can evolve to better prepare graduates for the demands of contemporary business environments.

Research Objectives

1. **To identify the key aptitude and reasoning skills**
2. **To evaluate the extent to which current management education curricula**
3. **To examine the gap between academic instruction and industry expectations**
4. **To explore the role of industry-academia collaboration**
5. **To recommend strategic interventions**

1) **To identify the key aptitude and reasoning skills** expected by industry from management graduates in contemporary business environments.

This objective focuses on discovering the specific mental abilities and reasoning skills that employers currently prioritize when hiring management graduates. Aptitude skills include numerical reasoning (ability to analyze and interpret quantitative data), verbal reasoning (comprehending and communicating information effectively), and logical reasoning (problem-solving and critical thinking).

For example, in a rapidly changing market, a company might expect a management graduate to analyze sales data trends to forecast demand accurately (numerical reasoning), interpret client communications to understand customer needs (verbal reasoning), and develop strategic solutions to streamline operations amid resource constraints (logical reasoning). This skills are essential for making effective decisions and driving organizational success.

Understanding these expectations enables academic institutions to align their curricula and teaching methods with real-world demands. Without such alignment, graduates may excel in theory but struggle to apply their knowledge practically, resulting in the well-documented skills gap.

2) **To evaluate the extent to which current management education curricula** develop cognitive competencies such as analytical thinking, logical reasoning, and decision-making.

This objective seeks to understand whether management education (e.g., MBA, BBA programs) is effectively preparing students not just with theoretical knowledge, but with the **critical thinking skill** they need to solve real-world business problems. These skills include:

- **Analytical Thinking** – the ability to assess data, spot patterns, and diagnose problems.
- **Logical Reasoning** – the skill to build sound arguments and evaluate evidence clearly.
- **Decision-Making** – the competence to weigh options and choose the most effective course of action in uncertain or complex scenarios.

The article *"From Theory to Practice: A Study on the Skills Gap in Management Graduates"* core theme: the gap between what students learn in the classroom and what employers expect in practice.

Relevant Example for the Article:

Let's imagine a common classroom activity:

Example Scenario:

In a typical MBA strategy course, students are taught frameworks like SWOT analysis, PESTLE analysis, and Porter's Five Forces. They apply these to textbook case studies during exams or presentations. Now consider a real-world situation: A graduate joins a company that's struggling to expand in a competitive market. The manager expects the graduate to:

- Analyze internal and external market data,
- Identify actionable insights,
- Recommend a new market entry strategy,
- Justify why that decision is better than alternatives.

If the graduate struggles with this task—despite having passed the academic course—it reveals a shortfall in how well the curriculum built their **analytical**, **logical**, and **decision-making** abilities.

This mismatch is exactly what the article investigates.

- Identify **whether management curricula emphasize skill development or just theoretical knowledge**.

- Show how this affects graduates' **job readiness and workplace performance**.
- Highlight gaps through surveys, interviews, or employer feedback.
- Recommend **curriculum reforms** like case-based learning, simulations, and real-time problem-solving activities that promote these cognitive skills.

This objective helps bridge the understanding between academic theory and practical application. By evaluating how well cognitive competencies are developed in current curricula, the study sheds light on why many management graduates may struggle in real business environments—despite excelling academically.

3) To examine the gap between academic instruction and industry expectations
What This Means:

This objective focuses on **identifying the mismatch between what management students are taught in universities** (e.g., theories, concepts, textbook models) and **what employers expect them to know and do in real-world business environments** (e.g., problem-solving, decision-making, communication, adaptability).

It asks:

- Are graduates leaving business schools with the **skills and mindset** needed by today's companies?
- Does the **teaching style** (lectures, exams, case studies) reflect the **practical challenges** of the workplace?

Relevant Example in the Article Context:

Example Scenario:

A university course in Human Resource Management teaches students about **Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs** and **Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory**. Students are tested on these through multiple-choice exams or short essays.

However, when a graduate enters the workforce as an HR executive, their manager expects them to:

- Design **employee retention strategies**,
- Conduct **exit interviews**,
- Resolve **real interpersonal conflicts**,
- Use HR analytics software to assess turnover trends.

The graduate may struggle to apply their theoretical knowledge to these **practical, dynamic workplace demands**, exposing a clear **skills gap**.

- **Highlight the disconnect** between classroom content and workplace challenges.
- Gather **feedback from employers** who feel graduates lack hands-on experience, soft skills, or business judgment.
- Include **interviews with students or faculty** who feel pressure to "cover the syllabus" rather than build real-world capabilities.
- Support **recommendations** for curriculum updates (e.g., internships, live projects, industry-led workshops).

By examining the gap between academic instruction and industry expectations, this study uncovers why many management graduates feel unprepared for real-world roles. It also provides insights into how curricula can evolve to better align education with employer needs—transforming theory into practice.

4) To examine the gap between academic instruction and industry expectations"
What This Means:

This objective focuses on **identifying the mismatch between what management students are taught in universities** (e.g., theories, concepts, textbook models) and **what employers expect them to know and do in real-world business environments** (e.g., problem-solving, decision-making, communication, adaptability).

It asks: Are graduates leaving business schools with the **skills and mindset** needed by today's companies? Does the **teaching style** (lectures, exams, case studies) reflect the **practical challenges** of the workplace?

Relevant Example in the Article Context:

Example Scenario:
A university course in HR Management teaches students about **Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs** and **Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory**. Students are tested on these through multiple-choice exams or short essays.

However, when a graduate enters the workforce as an HR executive, their manager expects them to:

- Design **employee retention strategies**,
- Conduct **exit interviews**,
- Resolve **real interpersonal conflicts**,
- Use HR analytics software to assess turnover trends.

The graduate may struggle to apply this theoretical knowledge to these **practical, dynamic workplace demands**, exposing a clear **skills gap**.

Why This Objective Matters:

In your article, this objective allows you to:

- **Highlight the disconnect** between classroom content and workplace challenges.
- Gather **feedback from employers** who feel graduates lack hands-on experience, soft skills, or business judgment.
- Include **interviews with students or faculty** who feel pressure to "cover the syllabus" rather than build real-world capabilities.
- Support **recommendations** for curriculum updates (e.g., internships, live projects, industry-led workshops).

By examining the gap between academic instruction and industry expectations, this study uncovers why many management graduates feel unprepared for real-world roles. It also provides insights into how curricula can evolve to better align education with employer needs—transforming theory into practice.

Examining the Gap Bet ween Academic Instruction and Industry Expectations

One of the core objectives of this study is to examine the disconnect between what management students learn in academic programs and what employers expect from them in the workplace. While universities often focus on theoretical frameworks—such as Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs or Porter's Five Forces—employers increasingly demand practical, hands-on skills such as data interpretation, decision-making under pressure, and strategic problem-solving. For example, a graduate who has excelled in classroom discussions about organizational behavior may still struggle to handle real-world tasks like resolving team conflicts or developing performance improvement plans. This gap becomes particularly evident when graduates enter roles that require immediate application of soft skills, critical thinking, and industry-specific tools, which are often underemphasized in traditional classroom settings. By investigating this gap, the study highlights the need for curriculum reform that bridges theory and practice—ensuring that management education produces not just knowledgeable graduates, but job-ready professionals.

5) To recommend strategic interventions

What This Means:

This objective focuses on offering **practical, actionable solutions** to address the **skills gap** between what management graduates are taught and what the industry needs. It goes beyond identifying problems—it aims to suggest **strategic interventions** (planned, targeted actions or reforms) that can be implemented by:

- Universities and business schools,
- Industry partners and employers,
- Policymakers in education.

This intervention should be help students **develop the right blend of theoretical knowledge and real-world skills**, such as decision-making, teamwork, communication, and adaptability.

Relevant Example for the Article:

Example Intervention:

If the study finds that many graduates lack practical decision-making skills, a recommended strategic intervention might be:

- **Introducing mandatory industry internships** or
- **Embedding live consulting projects in to the curriculum**

where student work with real companies to solve actual business problems.

This gives students hands-on experience and helps them learn how to apply classroom concepts in real business settings—closing the theory-practice gap.

Other Strategic Interventions Could Include:

- Partnering with industry professionals to co-design course content.
- Adding simulation-based learning, such as business war games or market analysis exercises.
- Training faculty in industry trends so teaching stays relevant.
- Creating assessment methods that test problem-solving, not just memory.

Why This Objective Is Essential

Recommending strategic interventions ensures that the article isn't just highlighting a problem—it is **contributing to a solution**. These suggestions can guide academic institutions and policymakers in making meaningful improvements to management education.

This objective aims to provide concrete, evidence-based recommendations to bridge the gap between theory and practice in management education. By proposing strategic interventions such as experiential learning, revised curricula, and closer industry-academic collaboration, the study offers a roadmap to better align graduate skills with real-world business needs. Conclusion: Findings and Solutions

Methodology

This study employed a **mixed-methods research design** to comprehensively examine the gap between management education and industry expectations. Both **quantitative and qualitative** approaches were used to gather data from multiple stakeholders—graduates, employers, and academic professionals.

1. Research Design

A **descriptive and exploratory** research approach was used to:

- Identify specific skill gaps in management graduates,

- Understand employer expectations, and
- Evaluate the effectiveness of existing curricula in developing practical competencies.

B Data Collection Methods a.
Surveys

- **Target groups:** Final-year management students, recent graduates (within 2 years), and HR managers from mid-to-large-sized companies.
- **Tool:** Structured questionnaire with Likert-scale and multiple-choice questions.
- **Purpose:** To collect measurable data on perceived preparedness, curriculum relevance, and required workplace skills. b. Semi-Structured Interviews

- **Participants:** Faculty members, academic administrators, and industry professionals.
- **Purpose:** To gain insights into: The rationale current teaching methods, Perceived industry expectations, Challenges in aligning curricula with workplace needs.

c. Curriculum Review

- **Sample:** Course outlines and syllabi from 5 leading business schools.
- **Criteria Assessed:**
  - Balance between theoretical and practical content,
  - Presence of experiential learning (e.g., case studies, simulations),
  - Assessment methods used to evaluate student performance.

3. Sampling Technique

- **Purposive sampling** was used to select respondents with direct experience in management education or early career employment.
- A total of:
  - **150 student/graduate responses**,
  - **25 employer responses**, and
  - **10 faculty interviews** were analyzed.

4. Data Analysis

- **Quantitative data** from surveys were analyzed using **descriptive statistics** (mean, frequency, percentages) to identify common trends.
- **Qualitative data** from interviews were analyzed using **thematic analysis** to extract recurring themes and insights.

Limitations

- The study is limited to a specific geographic region and a sample of selected institutions and companies.
- Self-reported data may include biases in perception. This methodology allowed for a multi-perspective understanding of the management skills gap—from the classroom to the corporate world. By combining curriculum analysis, stakeholder surveys, and interviews, the study provides a well-rounded foundation for recommending strategic reforms in business education.

The study concludes that a significant gap exists between the theoretical knowledge imparted in management education and the practical skills expected by employers.

While students graduate with a solid foundation in management concepts, many lack the ability to apply these concepts in real business scenarios—particularly in areas like critical thinking, strategic decision-making, communication, and adaptability.

Key Findings:

- Curricula are often **theory-heavy** and **light on practical application**.
- Graduates struggle with **real-time problem-solving and decision-making**.
- **Employers report dissatisfaction** with the job readiness of entry-level management hires.
- There is minimal **industry involvement in curriculum design**.

Proposed Solutions (Strategic Interventions):

1. **Integrate Experiential Learning:**Include internships, live projects, simulations, and case competitions to help students apply knowledge in real contexts.
    2. **Revise Curriculum Design:**Align course content with current industry practices through collaboration with business leaders and alumni.
3. **Foster Cognitive Skill Development:**Use teaching methods that encourage analytical thinking, logical reasoning, and decision-making under uncertainty.
4. **Enhance Industry-Academia Collaboration:**Involve professionals in guest lectures, mentorship, and assessment design to expose students to practical expectations.
5. **Continuous Faculty Training:**Equip educators with updated knowledge and teaching strategies that reflect current market needs.

Final Thought:

Bridging the theory-to-practice divide requires a strategic overhaul of management education—shifting from a content-delivery model to a **skill-development ecosystem**. Implementing these solutions can ensure that graduates not only understand management concepts but can also use them effectively in real-world business environments.

References

- Andrews, J., & Higson, H. (2008). Graduate employability, “soft skills” versus “hard” business knowledge: A European study. *Higher Education in Europe*, 33(4), 411–422.
- Brett, C. (2013). Industry–academia collaboration: A review of key models and emerging practices. *Journal of Education and Work*, 26(2), 175–193.
- Bridgstock, R. (2009). The graduate attributes we’ve overlooked: Enhancing graduate employability through career management skills. *Higher Education Research & Development*, 28(1), 31–44.
- CBI & Pearson. (2022). *Employers’ Perspectives on Graduate Skills*. London: Confederation of British Industry.
- Kayes, D. C. (2002). Experiential learning and its critics: Preserving the role of experience in management learning and education. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 1(2), 137–149.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Oliver, B., Whelan, B., & Herrington, J. (2015). Work-integrated learning curriculum design: Insights from an Australian university. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Cooperative Education*, 16(3), 209–222.
- World Economic Forum. (2023). *The Future of Jobs Report*. Geneva: WEF.
- Yorke, M. (2006). Employability in higher education: What it is — what it is not. *Learning and Employability Series 1*. The Higher Education Academy.